

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

FREDERICK G. KRIEMELMEYER,

Defendant.

ORDER

07-CR-0052-C

Defendant Frederick G. Kriemelmeyer has filed a Petition for Writ of Error Coram Nobis pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1651. Coram nobis petitions are available only to persons who are no longer subject to any form of custody. United States v. Keane, 852 F.2d 199, 203 (7th Cir. 1988). Because defendant is still in custody he is ineligible to challenge his conviction by way of a writ of error coram nobis. Although defendant characterizes his motion as one brought under certain provisions of Title 18, it is actually a motion for modification of his sentence and must be brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and subject to the rules of the Anti-terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act. Any motion that is filed in the sentencing court that is substantively within the scope of § 2255 must be filed as a § 2255 motion. Melton v. United States, 359 F.3d 855, 857 (7th Cir. 2004). “Call it a

motion for a new trial, arrest of judgment, mandamus, prohibition, coram nobis, coram vobis, audita querela, certiorari, capias, habeas corpus, ejectment, quare impedit, bill of review, writ of error, or an application for a Get-Out-of-Jail Card; the name makes no difference. It is substance that controls.” Id. (citing Thurman v. Gramley, 97 F.3d 185, 186-87 (7th Cir.1996)).

However, before addressing the re-characterized motion, I am required to advise defendant that I am re-characterizing the motion and that this means that it will count as his first § 2255 motion. Castro v. United States, 124 S.Ct. 786, 792 (2004). If he proceeds with this motion, he will not have an opportunity to file a second motion to modify or vacate his sentence except in unusual circumstances and then only after he has received permission from the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit for a second filing. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255 ¶ 8. If defendant wishes to proceed, he must advise the court of his intention. Because it is likely that this § 2255 motion will be the only one he will be allowed to file, he should consider carefully whether he wants to add any other § 2255 claims.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendant Frederick George Kriemelmeyer’s petition for a writ of error coram nobis is re-characterized as a motion brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Defendant may have until February 23, 2009, in which to advise the court whether he

wishes to withdraw his motion or proceed with it. If he chooses to proceed, he is either to attach a rewritten motion, setting out *all* his challenges to his sentence, or advise the court that his only challenges are the ones set out in his present motion. If defendant does not respond to this order by February 23, 2009, his motion will be denied for his failure to prosecute it.

Entered this 28th day of January, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge